

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 2 of 12

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the Application.

LISTING OF CLAIMS:

1-94. (*cancelled*)

95. (*cancelled*)

96. (*cancelled*)

97. (*Currently Amended*) A The computer-implemented method of claim 96, for measuring the relative accuracy of multiple analysts' estimates at one or more points in time for one or more events, the method comprising:

generating, for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events, on one or more given days, a relative accuracy score by comparing the accuracy of an analyst's estimate for an event on a given day relative to the average accuracy of the estimates for analysts having estimates for the event on the given day, wherein generating the relative accuracy score for each analyst further comprises providing a numerator that compares an analyst's error on the given day with the average analyst error on that day, wherein the numerator comprises the difference between an analyst's absolute error in an estimate and the average absolute error among a plurality of analysts' estimates, and providing a denominator that normalizes the numerator; and

generating individual relative accuracy ratings for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events.

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 3 of 12

98. *(Currently Amended)* A The computer-implemented method of claim 96, for measuring the relative accuracy of multiple analysts' estimates at one or more points in time for one or more events, the method comprising:

generating, for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events, on one or more given days, a relative accuracy score by comparing the accuracy of an analyst's estimate for an event on a given day relative to the average accuracy of the estimates for analysts having estimates for the event on the given day, wherein generating the relative accuracy score for each analyst further comprises providing a numerator that compares an analyst's error on the given day with the average analyst error on that day, and providing a denominator that normalizes the numerator, wherein the denominator comprises a function of a plurality of values to ensure that the relative accuracy rating score is normalized in proportion to a plurality of factors to meaningfully compare relative accuracy scores; and

generating individual relative accuracy ratings for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events.

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 4 of 12

99. *(Currently Amended)* A The computer-implemented method of claim 96, for measuring the relative accuracy of multiple analysts' estimates at one or more points in time for one or more events, the method comprising:

generating, for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events, on one or more given days, a relative accuracy score by comparing the accuracy of an analyst's estimate for an event on a given day relative to the average accuracy of the estimates for analysts having estimates for the event on the given day, wherein generating the relative accuracy score for each analyst further comprises providing a numerator that compares an analyst's error on the given day with the average analyst error on that day, and providing a denominator that normalizes the numerator, wherein normalization comprises normalizing the relative accuracy score around a neutral value; and

generating individual relative accuracy ratings for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events.

100. *(Previously Presented)* The method of claim 99, wherein the neutral value corresponds to the average absolute error among a number of analysts.

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 5 of 12

101. ***(Currently Amended)*** A The computer-implemented method of claim 95, for measuring the relative accuracy of multiple analysts' estimates at one or more points in time for one or more events, the method comprising:

generating, for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events, at one or more points in time, a relative accuracy score by comparing the accuracy of an analyst's estimate for an event at a point in time relative to the average accuracy of the estimates for analysts having estimates for the event at that point in time;

generating individual relative accuracy ratings for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events; and

~~further comprising~~ aggregating the relative accuracy score for an analyst over more than one point in time for a period of time, for a single event, to generate an analyst event score.

102. ***(Previously Presented)*** The method of claim 101, further comprising, if an analyst does not have an estimate for a given point in time in the period of time, assigning a central relative accuracy score for that analyst for that point in time.

103. ***(Previously Presented)*** The method of claim 101, wherein an analyst event score is capped within a range to enable more meaningful comparison with other analyst event scores.

104. ***(cancelled)***

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 6 of 12

105. *(cancelled)*

106. *(Previously Presented)* The method of claim 101, wherein a point in time comprises a day, and further comprising determining a relative accuracy score for each day over a number of days prior to an event report and taking a weighted average of daily relative accuracy scores for an analyst to generate an aggregated relative accuracy score for the analyst for the event.

107. *(Currently Amended)* A The computer-implemented method of claim 95, for measuring the relative accuracy of multiple analysts' estimates at one or more points in time for one or more events, the method comprising:

generating, for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events, at one or more points in time, a relative accuracy score by comparing the accuracy of an analyst's estimate for an event at a point in time relative to the average accuracy of the estimates for analysts having estimates for the event at that point in time;

generating individual relative accuracy ratings for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events; and

further comprising aggregating multiple relative accuracy scores for one analyst for one security for multiple events.

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 7 of 12

108. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 107, further comprising multiplying the aggregated relative accuracy score less a neutral value by an inflation factor then adding back the neutral value.

109. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 106, wherein when an estimate is not available or a relative accuracy score is not meaningfully calculable on a given day, replacing that day's value with a neutral value.

110. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 109, wherein the neutral value is a value about which the relative accuracy scores are normalized.

111. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 106, wherein the weighting is equal for each day.

112. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 106, wherein the weighting for some days is greater than other days.

113. (*cancelled*)

114. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 107, wherein the relative accuracy scores for events are truncated to lie within a predetermined range.

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 8 of 12

115-116. (cancelled)

117. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 107, further comprising:
selecting a number (N) of single events for which there are relative accuracy scores to be aggregated;
taking the average (A) of the single event relative accuracy scores over the (N) events;
taking the difference between the average (A) and a neutral value for a range;
multiplying the difference (D) by a function $f(n)$; and
adding the neutral value to the multiplied difference.

118. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 117, wherein the function $f(n)$ comprises multiplying by a root of N.

119. (*Previously Presented*) The method of claim 117, wherein the function $f(n)$ comprises multiplying by the square root of N.

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 9 of 12

120. *(Currently Amended)* A The computer-implemented method of claim 96, for measuring the relative accuracy of multiple analysts' estimates at one or more points in time for one or more events, the method comprising:

generating, for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events, on one or more given days, a relative accuracy score by comparing the accuracy of an analyst's estimate for an event on a given day relative to the average accuracy of the estimates for analysts having estimates for the event on the given day, wherein generating the relative accuracy score for each analyst further comprises providing a numerator that compares an analyst's error on the given day with the average analyst error on that day, and providing a denominator that normalizes the numerator, wherein the denominator is determined by selecting a maximum value from a plurality of values; and

generating individual relative accuracy ratings for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events.

121. *(Previously Presented)* The method of claim 120, wherein the plurality of values comprises a number based on the standard deviation of analysts' estimates on a day.

122. *(Previously Presented)* The method of claim 120, wherein the plurality of values comprises a number based on the average absolute error of analysts on a day.

Applicant: GATTO
Serial No: 09/982,838
Filing Date: October 22, 2001
Page: 10 of 12

123. *(Previously Presented)* The method of claim 120, wherein the plurality of values comprises a number based on the absolute value of the actual event value.

124. *(Previously Presented)* The method of claim 120, wherein the plurality of values comprises a constant monetary value.

125. *(Currently Amended)* A The computer-implemented method of claim 95, for measuring the relative accuracy of multiple analysts' estimates at one or more points in time for one or more events, the method comprising:

generating, for each of the multiple analysts, for one or more events, at one or more points in time, a relative accuracy score by comparing the accuracy of an analyst's estimate for an event at a point in time relative to the average accuracy of the estimates for analysts having estimates for the event at that point in time; and

further comprising mapping the relative accuracy scores to an accuracy rating system, wherein a relative accuracy score that falls within a predetermined range of relative accuracy scores is assigned an accuracy rating corresponding to that range, and the accuracy rating has corresponding symbols, where the number of symbols signifies the relative accuracy of an analyst.

126. *(Currently Amended)* The method of claim 125, where the accuracy ratings range from 1-5, and the symbols comprise stars.